

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,418	03/30/2004	Kerstin Mothes	543822005000	1266
71325 7590 66720/2008 ESCHWEILER & ASSOCIATES LLC NATIONAL CITY BANK BUILDING			EXAMINER	
			SMITH, BRADLEY	
CLEVELANE	O, OH 44114		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2891	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/20/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

docketing@eschweilerlaw.com

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/812.418 MOTHES ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Bradlev K. Smith 2891 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 May 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/812,418

Art Unit: 2891

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over. Ahn in view of Herner (US Patent 6,541,401). Regarding claims 1 and 6, Ahn disclose forming a mask on a substrate; forming at least one trench (121) in the substrate by using the mask; in the presence of the mask, selectively forming a first insulation material (129) only on the substrate in the trench to fill a lower part of the at least one trench in the substrate with the insulation material reducing the aspect ratio of the at least one trench mask; and applying a second insulation material (149) over an entire surface of the structure to fill the at least one trench in the substrate at least up to a top side of the mask (see figures 8 and 9), Regarding claim 2, Ahn disclose the substrate is silicon (100)[0030] the mask is silicon nitride [0030] and the first (129) and second (149) dielectric layers are silicon oxide. Regarding claim 3, Ahn disclose conditioning the dielectric layer [0035]. Regarding claim 4, Ahn disclose the second dielectric layer is formed by a HDP process [0038]. Regarding claim 5, Ahn disclose the second insulation material is planarized by CMP [0037]. Ahn fails to disclose selectively depositing the dielectric material on surface of the trench and not on the mask. However Herner disclose the selective deposition of silicon oxide by an ozone TEOS process which selectively grows in the trench and scarcely any grows on the nitride mask (see Herner figure 1b). (The examiner would like to point out that the specification

Application/Control Number: 10/812,418

Art Unit: 2891

filed on 11/22/05 disclose that "An example of a selective oxide deposition process of this type is an ozone TEOS process with a high process pressure and a high ozone content. In this case, scarcely any oxide grows on the nitride mask 3." (page 6 lines 8 and 11), as working example of "the oxide deposition material is grown selectively only in the trenches 2 on the silicon of the substrate 1 but not on the nitride of the mask 3" (page 6 lines 4-6). Ergo the examiner understands that "not on the mask" can mean "scarcely any".) Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Ahn and Herner because the selective oxide deposition in markedly less complex and thereby reducing costs (see Herner column 1 lines 60-65).

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/812,418

Art Unit: 2891

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley K. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on 571-272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bradley K Smith/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2891